



SACRAMENTO CITY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD OF EDUCATION

Agenda Item# 11.1g

Meeting Date: December 10, 2020

Subject: Approve Minutes of the November 5, 2020, Board of Education Regular Meeting

Meeting

Background/Rationale

_____: None

Financial Considerations

_____: None

LCAP Goal(s):

_____ Family and Community Empowerment

Documents Attached:

1. Minutes of the November 5, 2020, Board of Education Regular Meeting

<p>Estimated Time of Presentation: N/A Submitted by: Jorge A. Aguilar, Superintendent Approved by: N/A</p>



BOARD OF EDUCATION MEETING AND WORKSHOP

Board of Education Members

Jessie Ryan, President (Trustee Area 7)
Christina Pritchett, Vice President (Trustee Area 3)
Michael Minnick, 2nd Vice President (Trustee Area 4)
Lisa Murawski (Trustee Area 1)

submitting a request for oral comment only when the matter is called, instead of written comment. Regardless of the method by which public comment is submitted, the submission deadline for closed and open sessions shall be no later than noon, November 5. Individual written public comment shall be no more than two minutes in length on each agenda or nonagenda item. The Board shall limit the total time for public comment on each agenda or nonagenda item, including communications and organizational reports, to 15 minutes in length. With Board consent, the President may increase or decrease the length of time allowed for public comment, depending on the topic and the number of written public comments.

3.0 CLOSED SESSION

While the Brown Act creates broad public access rights to the meetings of the Board of Education, it also recognizes the legitimate need to conduct some of its meetings outside of the public eye. Closed session meetings are specifically defined and limited in scope. They primarily involve personnel issues, pending litigation, labor negotiations, and real property matters.

3.1 Government Code 54956.9 Conference with Legal Counsel:

- a) Existing litigation pursuant to subdivision (d)(1) of Government Code section 54956.9 (OAH Case No. 2020040567)
- b) Significant exposure to litigation pursuant to subdivision (d)(2) of Government Code section 54956.9 (One Potential Case)
- c) Initiation of litigation pursuant to subdivision (d)(4) of Government Code section 54956.9 (One Potential Case)

Member Minnick said he did not feel we needed to pull out of Consent Agenda at the prior Board meeting, but he also knows that parents and the community were asking why would the District turn down another opportunity for insight and input from another entity. He understands why they would feel that way, and he appreciates the clarification tonight. He believes we have a direct process given our relationship with the County, and with all the audits we have already had that have all said essentially the same thing. Second Member Murawski's motion.

Member Garcia thanked staff for the presentation. She felt this conversation was too important to put in the Consent Agenda. She feels it is important to understand why we are doing something and that hearing from parents is important. She wants to make sure that we increase transparency. She also wants to make sure that we do not dismiss the opportunity for another review committee of any sort to be formed. She noted that we will enter into uncharted territory, not only after we see the fiscal recovery plan, but we also are going to experience a very tough time post-COVID, and she feels we need to continue to have some sort of body that continues to look at this with a fresh set of eyes. She asked, if the Board were to decide to establish a Budget Review Committee, does that body only come together and exist for a short period to approve or disapprove a budget with recommendations? And what happens to that body after their job? Also, how does that interact with the County and the authority of stay and rescind? Ms. Ramos said that the County is telling her that the committees do dismantle after their assignment is completed and that the County is not a part of the Budget Review Committee. Member Garcia asked, in terms of the recommendations that come out of that process, what happens to those recommendations? Superintendent Aguilar said he feels it is important to hear from the County on these questions. County Superintendent Gordon introduced County Chief Business Officer Nick Sweitzer. Mr. Sweitzer responded that the committee recommends approval of the budget as is, or it will recommend revisions to the budget and those revisions come back to the District governing board to implement with the goal that those revisions can get the district budget that can be sustainable, balanced, and approved. If that does not happen, then the process continues as it has been, where the county office of education continues to work with the district to move the district forward to where it has an adoptable budget. The state superintendent of public instruction also would like to see that the district for not happen to get a recommendation to move forward to where it has an adoptable budget. The state superintendent of public instruction also would like to see that the district for not happen to get a recommendation to move forward to where it has an adoptable budget.

Member Woo asked Mr. Browning a question about the third party consultant for the learning hubs. He asked if Mr. Browning was able to take the existing comments and incorporate them into the plan. If so, would he need the third party contractor. Mr. Browning replied that the third party assessor only reviewed the restrooms and classrooms associated with those learning hubs. He listed which learning hubs and classrooms were assessed and gave more information on testing and assessment details. Member Woo asked about assessment costs, and Mr. Browning provided information from the item on the Consent Agenda, from which it was close to half a million dollars. Member Woo asked if the County has approved this expenditure, and Mr. Browning said yes.

President Ryan asked if the third party assessor has been used by other school districts and how they were selected. Mr. Browning said they were selected out of our pool of architects and that the consultant chosen has worked across the state. He also listed local districts that have used the company.

Second Vice President Minnick said he heard the frustration in some of the public comments, and he appreciates Superintendent Aguilar moving this to an information item tonight. He apologized to those that submitted comments that are no longer relevant since the item was changed from action to information. He appreciates the focus on not looking at a specific date to re-open, but more on what we can do to keep students and staff safe. He noted the variable and changeable nature of the virus. He further commented that, as we move forward with the learning hubs, it is an opportunity to see that students needing additional support have it. What seems like an unintended consequence is how much impact having real world experiences has allowed us to get ramped up ahead of time and see what is working. What he has seen makes him feel much more confident about having students come back.

transitional kindergarten to 12th grade. Ms. Flores said that the recommendation is for grade two and above. She noted that childcare has stayed open this entire time. She said that when you teach particularly young children about health measures, they thrive. She spoke about the importance of mask breaks and the protocols in place for this to be done. She said the science on prevention is sound, and she would be happy to share more with the Board. Member Garcia asked about students that cannot wear a mask for medical reasons and students whose parents are not comfortable with them wearing a mask due to their age. Ms. Flores said the current health care plan does account for exceptional situations and that some cases must be taken on an individual basis. If a student refuses to wear a face mask, the District is required to offer distance learning. Member Garcia said that the metrics implies to her that there is an evaluation process or feedback loop. She asked for more information this and what the outreach to the public and families look like in terms of these proposed metrics. Superintendent Aguilar said that when we think of dashboards we typically think of metrics, and he asks the Board to think of them as conditions of readiness measures that we are taking to prepare and get us ready for reopening. He spoke in more detail on the metrics and their status. Member Garcia said we are talking about conditions to open, but she feels it is also important to start talking about a timeline for reopening. President Ryan said she agrees that having an actionable timeline is important.

Member Murawski thanked staff. She asked that we think also about why schools are closed right now; she said we do know a lot more now than when the pandemic started, and feels we are not overthinking this. She mentioned some COVID factors and feels all of the metrics laid out are in keeping with best practices. She feels the best ways to limit the spread of virus in schools is to identify those that are infected and by reducing COVID in the community. She mentioned and explained a "swiss cheese" model of disease prevention. She noted that we will never get to a ppo()TJ T* d fs an 1 0 Tdn-2(op,(st)-2J [(S1(e)4)(s)-1(e)4(as1e)

length of time allowed for public comment By law, the Board is allowed to take action only on items on the agenda. The Board may, at its discretion, refer a matter to district staff calendar the issue for future discussion.

Public Comment:

Rich Vasquez
Maria Rodriguez
Sarah Williams Kingsley
Renee Webster Hawkins
Sarah Williams Kingsley
Angie Sutherland
Angel Garcia
Grace Trujillo
Terence Gladney
Amanda Connolly
Alex Read
Shannon Schmidt

9.0 PUBLIC HEARING OF INTENTION TO CONVEY PUBLIC RIGHT OF WAY

9.1 Public Hearing for Resolution No. 317 Resolution of Intention to Convey Public Right of Way Easement Entitlements to the City of Sacramento at John Cabrillo Elementary School (Nathaniel Browning) Information

Director of Facilities Nathaniel Browning explained that this public hearing is based on the City of Sacramento seeking permanent easement for public right of way at John Cabrillo Elementary School for a traffic and pedestrian signal improvement project. The project is to enhance safety at the intersection of Seamas Avenue and Lonsdale Drive by replacing signal poles and upgrading signal equipment. The City desires to acquire an area of approximately 36 square feet for the easement. To initiate the process for an easement, Education Code 17557 signifies that the Board has to provide a resolution of its intent to dedicate real property. At the October 15, 2020, Board of Education meeting the governing board adopted Resolution No. 3170 which declared the District's intent to convey District property located at 1141 Seamas Avenue, Sacramento, California to the City of Sacramento for a public right of way easement. The next steps in the process is to hold this public hearing for any public comment regarding the District's intent to grant a public right of way easement to the City of Sacramento. After this public hearing, the Board will vote on Resolution No. 3173 on Consent Agenda for the action of granting a public right of way easement to the City at John Cabrillo Elementary School.

Public Comment:
Terrence Gladney

Board Comments:

Member Garcia asked for explanation of the process. Counsel

doing magnificent work on it. He spoke about the work and plans for reopening schools. He said he knows students are doing the best they can in the current distance learning environment, although we know it does not match the learning that takes place when students are in school with their teacher, peers, and school staff. We want students to come back to school and in a safe and responsible manner. The health and safety of students, staff, and community is our highest priority and always has been. This is why we are committed to going above and beyond the state and county guidelines when it comes to COVID mitigation measures at each site and facility. The Superintendent shared that we have learned of the experiences other districts and have had the advantage of making adjustments to our plan as needed based on best and current practices. He feels we are making good progress to meet the benchmarks that we have discussed with the Board and community so that we can be better prepared to safely welcome students and staff back to campuses. He also spoke more about the learning hubs and said we hope to expand those in the near future to serve the most vulnerable and marginalized students across the District. He shared information on recent tours of the hubs that were given and said we will continue to remain committed to the collective bargaining process with labor partners and hopes that

data suggests there is only limited transmission from young children to adults. He has confidence in the plans staff has put together, and is excited to move away from distance learning. He also spoke about the election, and thanked District students that voted and worked as poll workers, and he thanked all poll workers as well. He reminded that these events we are watching collectively will shape a generation of students as we all move into a world where civic engagement is incredibly important. He shared that he has discussed with Member Garcia about implementing the state seal for civic engagement as introduced by Assembly Bill 24. He is looking forward to working on implementing that this year.

10.6 Information Sharing by Board Members

Information

Second Vice President Minnick shared that earlier this year he made the decision not to run for reelection to represent Trustee Area 4 on the Board. He reported that two very well qualified people stepped up to run for the seat. He thanked the candidates that stepped forward, Nailah Pope Harden and Jamee Villa, and he looks forward to supporting whoever ends up taking over for him in December.

Member Murawski shared that she wants to know more about what is on the mind of parents, families, and students in her area regarding re-opening of schools, so she plans to hold additional listening sessions before the next Board meeting to get feedback on what was presented in terms of re-opening metrics.

11.0 CONSENT AGENDA

(Roll Call Vote)

Generally routine items are approved by one motion without discussion. The Superintendent or a Board member may request an item be pulled from the consent agenda and voted upon separately.

11.1 Items Subject or Not Subject to Closed Session

11.1a Approve Grants, Entitlements and Other Income Agreement; Ratification of Other Agreements, Approval of Bid Awards, Approval of Declared Surplus Materials and Equipment, Change Notices and Notices of Completion (Flore Ramos)

11.1b Approve Personnel Transaction (Cancy McArn)

11.1c Approve Resolution No 3173: Resolution to Convey Public Right of Way Easement Entitlements to the City of Sacramento at John Cabrillo Elementary School (Nathaniel Browning)

11.1d Approve Minutes of the October 21, 2020, Board of Education Special Meeting (Jorge A. Aguilar)

11.1e Approve Minutes